

Corporate Priority				
Proposed Scheme	Ventilation System Replacement Great Hall Car Park			
Outline of Proposal, including timescales	Replace the basement ventilation system which is past economical life and inefficient technology due to age. Proposal to install new shunt fan system and remove ductwork from basement which is frequently damaged, obstructs parking spaces and is being used to store drug paraphernalia which then presents as a hazard to our contractors			
Sources of funding	Capital & Revenue Initiatives Reserve			
Objectives	Improve ventilation in the basement of the Great Hall car park utilising sensors to improve efficiency			
Benefits	Creates more space in the basement and plant room and reduces energy consumption. I would estimate energy reduction would be in the region of 50%+ due to normal operation at very low running costs boosted only when required rather than the existing which operates at 100% for the whole of the operating day.			
How will the proposal contribute towards:	Supports a prosperous borough, provides a quality service, improves wellbeing, and ensures we operate in a business-like way			
Corporate Priority?				
Local Area Agreement?				
Asset Management Plan?				
Other plans and strategies				



(please	
specify)?  Constraints (e.g. time, reliance on external funding, legal or technical factors)	This will require external technical assistance for correct design of installation although may be via our term contractors
Is this scheme already in the Capital Programme?	It has been highlighted as part of the Civic Development proposals previously.
(If so, has the work started or has the contract been let?)	A total budget of £850,000 was approved by Cabinet for works on the Great Hall, to be carried out between 2020/21 and 2021/22.
Does this scheme create new assets, which the Council will need to replace in due course? If so, please give the asset life expectancies.	No, although a new system will be different to the existing it will essentially be a replacement but will be more efficient. Life expectancy of new equipment would be c. 15 years tbc.
Have Accountancy agreed that the proposed expenditure should correctly be treated	No



as capital?	
Implications of proposal being rejected	Will continue to operate inefficiently and be costly to maintain and present a hazard to contractors and users of the site.
Implications of proposal being delayed	Same as above
Alternative solutions	No alternative solutions
(If capital funding not available)	
Risks (outline risks and action required to meet them)	System failure resulting in lower car park closure. Excessive running costs.
How does this proposal impact on equalities?	None
Are there any VAT implications?	No



Capital Costs					
Expenditure	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	
Site Acquisition					
Construction					
Structural Maintenance					
Fees	5,000				
Vehicles, Plant, Furniture and Equipment	30,000				
Grants and Contributions					
Other expenditure					
Total	35,000				
Less external grants and contributions					
Less sales of related fixed assets					
Net cost to Tunbridge Wells Borough Council	35,000				



Revenue Effects of Capital Expenditure						
Expenditure / Income	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25		
Loss of Interest (3% of net cost)	1,050					
Additional revenue costs (please specify)						
Reduced revenue costs (please specify)	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC		
Additional income (please specify)						
Net cost to Tunbridge Wells Borough Council						

Net Present Value (Please speak with Finance if	
you are unsure what this is)	

Date the scheme discussed by the Head of Service with the relevant Portfolio Holder:

Supply email endorsing their support for the approval of funding by Cabinet.

Work must not commence without budgetary approval from Cabinet (or s151 in an emergency)